\* The original of this document contains information which is subject to withholding from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552. Such material has been deleted from this copy and replaced with XXXXXX's.

### May 6, 2005

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Name of Case: Worker Appeal

Date of Filing: October 13, 2004

Case No.: TIA-0252

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (the Applicant) applied to the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Worker Advocacy (OWA) for assistance in filing for state workers' compensation benefits. The Applicant was a DOE contractor employee at a DOE facility. An independent physician panel (the Physician Panel or the Panel) found that the Applicant had an illness related to a toxic exposure at DOE. The OWA accepted the Panel determination but described it as a negative determination. The Applicant filed an appeal with the DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA). As explained below, we have concluded that the Appeal should be granted.

# I. Background

#### A. The Relevant Statute and Regulations

The Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 as amended (the Act) concerns workers involved in various ways with the nation's atomic weapons program. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7384, 7385. As originally enacted, the Act provided for two Subpart B provided for a Department of Labor (DOL) programs. program providing federal compensation for certain illnesses. Subpart D provided for a DOE assistance 20 C.F.R. Part 30. program for DOE contractor employees filing for state workers' compensation benefits. Under the DOE program, an independent physician panel assessed whether a claimed illness or death arose out of and in the course of the worker's employment, and exposure to a toxic substance, at a DOE facility. 42 U.S.C. § 7385o(d)(3); 10 C.F.R. Part 852 (the Physician Panel Rule). The OWA was responsible for this program.

The Physician Panel Rule provided for an appeal process. An applicant could appeal a decision by the OWA not to submit an application to a Physician Panel, a negative determination by a Physician Panel that was accepted by the OWA, and a final decision by the OWA not to accept a Physician Panel determination in favor of an applicant. The instant appeal was filed pursuant to that Section. The Applicant sought review of a negative determination by a Physician Panel that was accepted by the OWA. 10 C.F.R. § 852.18(a)(2).

the Applicant's appeal was pending, Congress repealed While Ronald W. Reagan Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Subpart D. 2005, Pub. No. 108-375 (October 28, 2004) L. Authorization Act). Congress added a new subpart to the Act, Subpart E, which establishes a DOL workers' compensation program Under Subpart E, all Subpart D for DOE contractor employees. claims will be considered as Subpart E claims. Id. § 3681(g). addition, under Subpart E, an applicant is deemed to have an illness related to a workplace toxic exposure at DOE if the applicant received a positive determination under Subpart B. Id. § 3675(a).

During the transition period, in which DOL sets up the Subpart E program, OHA continues to process appeals of negative OWA determinations.

## B. Procedural Background

The Applicant was employed as an ironworker at the Savannah River Site (the plant). He worked at the plant for approximately 16 years, from 1974 to 1990.

The Applicant filed an application with OWA, requesting physician panel review of his pleural plaques. The Worker claimed that his illness was due to exposures to toxic and hazardous materials at the plant.

The Physician Panel issued a positive determination, attributing his pleural plaques to asbestos exposure. The OWA accepted the determination, but described it as a negative determination.

The Applicant filed the instant appeal. In the appeal, the Applicant argues that the OWA mistakenly referred to the Panel determination as negative. See Applicant's Appeal Letter.

#### II. Analysis

Under the Physician Panel Rule, independent physicians rendered an opinion whether a claimed illness was related to exposure to toxic substances during employment at a DOE facility. The Rule required that the Panel address each claimed illness, make a finding whether that illness was related to toxic exposure at the DOE site, and state the basis for that finding. 10 C.F.R. § 852.12. The Rule required that the Panel's determination be based on "whether it is at least as likely as not that exposure to a toxic substance" at DOE "was a significant factor in aggravating, contributing to or causing the illness." Id. § 852.8.

The Applicant has demonstrated OWA error. The Panel report contains a clear, positive determination for pleural plaques. The OWA's reference to the determination as a negative one is a simple clerical error.

As the foregoing indicates, the appeal should be granted. In compliance with Subpart E, the claim will be transferred to the DOL for review. The DOL is in the process of developing procedures for evaluating and issuing decisions on these claims. OHA's decision grant of this appeal does not purport to dispose of the DOL's review of the claim under Subpart E.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The Appeal filed in Worker Advocacy, Case No. TIA-0252, be, and hereby is, granted.
- (2) The Physician Panel rendered a positive determination. Further consideration is in order.
- (3) This is a final order of the Department of Energy.

George B. Breznay Director Office of Hearings and Appeals

Date: May 6, 2005